



**Barclays Capital  
Securities Limited**  
Pillar 3 Report  
31 December 2023



# Contents

## Introduction

---

Notes on Basis of Preparation	2
-------------------------------	---

## Risk and capital position review

---

Analysis of treasury and capital risk	4
Analysis of credit risk	15

## Barclays' approach to managing risks

---

Management of credit risk mitigation techniques and counterparty credit risk	26
--	----

## Appendices

---

Appendix A – Countercyclical capital buffer	29
Appendix B – Disclosure on remuneration	32

# Introduction

## Notes on Basis of Preparation

The abbreviations '£m' represent millions of Pounds Sterling.

There are a number of key judgement areas, for example impairment calculations, which are based on models and which are subject to ongoing adjustment and modifications. Reported numbers reflect best estimates and judgements at the given point in time.

Relevant terms that are used in this document but are not defined under applicable regulatory guidance or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) are explained in the results glossary available at [home.barclays/investor-relations/reports-and-events](https://home.barclays/investor-relations/reports-and-events)

### Disclosure background

Barclays Capital Securities Limited (BCSL) is a large non-listed subsidiary of Barclays PLC and is required by the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) to provide information about its risk profile, including its regulatory capital, RWAs and leverage exposures. The disclosures provided in this document for BCSL are based on this regulatory scope of consolidation, unless otherwise specified.

For the purpose of liquidity management, BCSL and its immediate parent company Barclays Bank PLC are monitored on a combined basis by the PRA under a Domestic Liquidity Sub-Group (Barclays Bank PLC DoLSub) arrangement ('DoLSub'). The liquidity disclosures (Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR)) are disclosed at the DoLSub level which are published in the Barclays Bank PLC Pillar 3 report.

The Pillar 3 report is prepared in accordance with the Capital Requirements Regulation and Capital Requirements Directive (CRR and CRD V). In particular articles 431 to 455 of CRR specify the requirements of the Pillar 3 framework. Those regulations came into force on 1 January 2022, and were implemented by the PRA via the PRA Rulebook.

References to CRR, as amended by CRR II, mean the capital regulatory requirements, as they form part of domestic law by virtue of the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018, as amended.

The terms risk weighted asset (RWA) and Risk weighted exposure amount (RWEA) are used interchangeably throughout the document.

The disclosures included in this report reflect BCSL's interpretation of the current rules and guidance.

### Future regulatory changes

#### Capital and RWAs

On 30 November 2022, the PRA published its consultation paper 'Implementation of the Basel 3.1 standards', which covers the remaining parts of the Basel III standards to be implemented in the UK.

On 27 September 2023, the PRA announced their intention to move the final Basel 3.1 standards implementation date by six months to 1 July 2025 and to reduce the transitional period to 4.5 years to ensure full implementation by 1 January 2030.

On 12 December 2023, the PRA published its Policy Statement 'Implementation of the Basel 3.1 standards near-final part 1' (PS17/23), which covers the Credit Valuation Adjustments (CVA), Counterparty Credit Risk (CCR), Market Risk and Operational Risk elements of the Basel 3.1 standards. A second near final policy statement is expected in Q2 2024 which will cover; Credit Risk - Standardised Approach (SA) and Internal Ratings Based approach (IRB), Credit Risk Mitigation (CRM), Output Floor, Reporting and Disclosure.

## Notes on Basis of Preparation (continued)

### Presentation of risk data in the Pillar 3 disclosures versus the Annual Report and Accounts

This document discloses BCSL's assets in terms of exposures and capital requirements. For the purposes of this document:

#### Credit losses

Where credit impairment or losses are disclosed within this document, BCSL has followed the IFRS definitions used in the 2023 financial statements.

#### Scope of application

Where this document discloses credit exposures or capital requirements, BCSL has followed the scope and application of its Pillar 1 capital adequacy calculations (unless noted otherwise).

#### Definition of credit exposures

- Credit exposure, or 'Exposure at Default' (EAD) is defined as the estimate of the amount at risk in the event of a default (before any recoveries) or through the decline in value of an asset. This estimate takes account of contractual commitments related to undrawn amounts
- In contrast, an asset on the BCSL's balance sheet is reported as a drawn balance only. This is one of the reasons why exposure values in the Pillar 3 report differ from asset values as reported in the BCSL financial statements

## Analysis of treasury and capital risk

**Table 1: KM1 - Key metrics<sup>a</sup>**

This table shows key regulatory metrics and ratios as well as related components such as own funds, RWAs, capital ratios, additional requirements based on Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process (SREP), capital buffer requirements and leverage ratio.

KM1 ref		As at 31.12.23 € 000's	As at 31.12.22 € 000's	As at 31.12.21 € 000's
	<b>Available own funds (amounts)</b>			
1	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	<b>1,742,631</b>	1,610,198	1,389,531
2	Tier 1 capital	<b>2,242,631</b>	2,110,198	1,589,531
3	Total capital	<b>2,542,631</b>	2,410,198	1,889,531
	<b>Risk-weighted exposure amounts</b>			
4	Total risk-weighted exposure amount	<b>9,005,755</b>	10,990,325	8,167,669
	<b>Capital ratios (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)</b>			
5	Common Equity Tier 1 ratio (%)	<b>19.4%</b>	14.7%	17.0%
6	Tier 1 ratio (%)	<b>24.9%</b>	19.2%	19.5%
7	Total capital ratio (%)	<b>28.2%</b>	21.9%	23.1%
	<b>Additional own funds requirements based on SREP (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)</b>			
UK 7a	Additional CET1 SREP requirements (%)	<b>2.3%</b>	1.5%	
UK 7b	Additional AT1 SREP requirements (%)	<b>0.8%</b>	0.5%	
UK 7c	Additional T2 SREP requirements (%)	<b>1.0%</b>	0.7%	
UK 7d	Total SREP own funds requirements (%)	<b>12.1%</b>	10.7%	
	<b>Combined buffer requirement (as a percentage of risk-weighted exposure amount)</b>			
8	Capital conservation buffer (%)	<b>2.5%</b>	2.5%	2.5%
9	Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer (%)	<b>0.4%</b>	0.3%	0.0%
10	Global Systemically Important Institution buffer (%)	—	—	—
11	Combined buffer requirement (%)	<b>2.9 %</b>	2.8%	2.5%
UK 11a	Overall capital requirements (%)	<b>15.0%</b>	13.5%	
12	CET1 available after meeting the total SREP own funds requirements (%)	<b>12.5%</b>	8.6%	

**Notes:**

a. LCR and NSFR ratios are not applicable on standalone basis as liquidity for the entity is managed as part of a single sub-group (Barclays Bank PLC DoLSub).

The CET1 ratio increased to 19.4% (December 2022: 14.7%) as CET1 capital increased by £132m to £1,743m and RWAs decreased by £1,985m to £9,006m:

- £132m increase in capital primarily due to attributable profit generated in the year
- £1,985m decrease in RWAs primarily due to decrease in Modelled Market risk driven by SVaR and VaR, partially offset by increase in RWAs within CCR due to reduction in parental guarantee facility

## Analysis of treasury and capital risk (continued)

Table 1: KM1 - Key metrics - Part 2

KM1 ref		As at 31.12.23	As at 30.09.23	As at 30.06.23	As at 31.03.23	As at 31.12.22
		€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's
	<b>Leverage ratio</b>					
13	Total exposure measure excluding claims on central banks <sup>a</sup>	<b>49,307,010</b>	49,771,049	49,457,252	49,600,928	52,687,321
14	Leverage ratio excluding claims on central banks (%) <sup>a,c</sup>	<b>4.5%</b>	4.5%	4.5%	4.2%	4.0%
	<b>Additional leverage ratio disclosure requirements</b>					
UK 14a	Fully loaded ECL accounting model leverage ratio excluding claims on central banks (%)	<b>4.5%</b>	4.5%	4.5%	4.2%	4.0%
UK 14b	Leverage ratio including claims on central banks (%)	<b>4.5%</b>	4.5%	4.5%	4.2%	4.0%
UK 14c	Average leverage ratio excluding claims on central banks (%) <sup>b</sup>	<b>4.3%</b>	4.3%	4.4%	3.9%	
UK 14d	Average leverage ratio including claims on central banks (%) <sup>b</sup>	<b>4.3%</b>	4.3%	4.4%	3.9%	
UK 14e	Countercyclical leverage ratio buffer (%) <sup>c</sup>	<b>0.1%</b>	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	

**Notes:**

- a. BCSL does not apply transitional arrangements of the CRR as amended by CRR II.
- b. Average UK leverage ratio uses capital based on the last day of each month in the quarter and an exposure measure for each day in the quarter.
- c. Although the leverage ratio is expressed in terms of T1 capital, 75% of the minimum requirement and countercyclical leverage ratio buffer (CCLB) must be covered solely with CET1 capital. The CET1 capital held against the 0.1% CCLB was €49.3m.

The BCSL UK leverage ratio increased to 4.5% (December 2022: 4.0%). This is driven by an increase in Tier 1 capital to €2,243m (December 2022: €2,110m) primarily due to attributable profit for the period and a decrease in exposures to €49,307m (December 2022: €52,687m) driven by a reduction in trading portfolio assets and securities financing transactions.

## Analysis of treasury and capital risk (continued)

**Table 2: CC1 – Composition of regulatory own funds**

This table shows the components of regulatory capital presented on a fully loaded basis.

			As at 31.12.23	As at 31.12.22
		Ref <sup>†</sup>	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital: instruments and reserves</b>				
1	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts <i>of which: Instrument type 1</i>	a	571,070	571,070
			—	—
2	Retained earnings	b	909,061	670,660
3	Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves)	c	250,000	250,000
UK-5a	Independently reviewed interim profits net of any foreseeable charge or dividend <sup>a</sup>	b	125,409	238,401
6	<b>Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital before regulatory adjustments</b>		<b>1,855,540</b>	1,730,131
<b>Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital: regulatory adjustments</b>				
7	Additional value adjustments		(108,530)	(118,685)
8	Intangible assets (net of related tax liability)		—	—
10	Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability excluding those arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) CRR are met)		—	—
11	Fair value reserves related to gains or losses on cash flow hedges of financial instruments that are not valued at fair value		—	—
12	Negative amounts resulting from the calculation of expected loss amounts		(4,379)	(1,248)
13	Any increase in equity that results from securitised assets (negative amount)		—	—
14	Gains or losses on liabilities valued at fair value resulting from changes in own credit standing		—	—
15	Defined-benefit pension fund assets		—	—
19	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by the institution of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount above 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions)		—	—
21	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (amount above 10% threshold, net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) CRR are met) (negative amount)		—	—
22	Amount exceeding the 17.65% threshold		—	—
23	<i>of which: direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by the institution of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities</i>		—	—
25	<i>of which: deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences</i>		—	—
27a	Other regulatory adjustments to CET1 capital (including IFRS 9 transitional adjustments when		—	—
28	<b>Total regulatory adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)</b>		<b>(112,909)</b>	(119,933)
29	<b>Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital</b>		<b>1,742,631</b>	1,610,198

**Notes:**

† The references identify balance sheet components in Table 3: CC2 – Reconciliation of regulatory capital to balance sheet on page 08 which is used in the calculation of regulatory capital.

## Analysis of treasury and capital risk (continued)

Table 2: CC1 – Composition of regulatory own funds – (continued)

			As at 31.12.23	As at 31.12.22
		Ref <sup>1</sup>	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital: instruments</b>				
30	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	d	500,000	500,000
31	<i>of which: classified as equity under applicable accounting standards</i>	d	500,000	500,000
36	<b>Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital before regulatory adjustments</b>		500,000	500,000
<b>Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital: regulatory adjustments</b>				
40	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by the institution of the AT1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (net of eligible short positions)		—	—
43	<b>Total regulatory adjustments to Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital</b>		—	—
44	<b>Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital</b>		500,000	500,000
45	<b>Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)</b>		2,242,631	2,110,198
<b>Tier 2 (T2) capital: instruments</b>				
46	Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts		300,000	300,000
UK-47b	Amount of qualifying items referred to in Article 494b (2) CRR subject to phase out from T2		—	—
50	Credit risk adjustments		—	—
51	<b>Tier 2 (T2) capital before regulatory adjustments</b>		300,000	300,000
<b>Tier 2 (T2) capital: regulatory adjustments</b>				
52	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by an institution of own T2 instruments and subordinated loans		—	—
55	Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings by the institution of the T2 instruments and subordinated loans of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (net of eligible		—	—
57	<b>Total regulatory adjustments to Tier 2 (T2) capital</b>		—	—
58	<b>Tier 2 (T2) capital</b>		300,000	300,000
59	<b>Total capital (TC = T1 + T2)</b>		2,542,631	2,410,198
60	<b>Total Risk exposure amount</b>		9,005,755	10,990,325
<b>Capital ratios and buffers</b>				
61	Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)		19.4%	14.7%
62	Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)		24.9%	19.2%
63	Total capital (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount)		28.2%	21.9%
64	Institution CET1 overall capital requirement (CET1 requirement in accordance with Article 92 (1) CRR, plus additional CET1 requirement which the institution is required to hold in accordance with point (a) of Article 104(1) CRD, plus combined buffer requirement in accordance with Article 128(6) CRD) expressed as a percentage of risk exposure amount		9.7%	8.8%
65	<i>of which: capital conservation buffer requirement</i>		2.5%	2.5%
66	<i>of which: countercyclical buffer requirement</i>		0.4%	0.3%
67	<i>of which: systemic risk buffer requirement</i>		—	—
68	<b>Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)</b>		2.3%	1.5%
<b>Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (before risk weighting)</b>				
72	Direct and indirect holdings of own funds and eligible liabilities of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment in those entities (amount below 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions)		66,835	82,171
73	Direct and indirect holdings by the institution of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount below 17.65% thresholds and net of eligible short positions)		—	—
75	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (amount below 17.65% threshold, net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) CRR are met)		—	—
<b>Applicable caps on the inclusions of provisions in Tier 2</b>				
77	Cap on inclusion of credit risk adjustments in T2 under standardised approach		13,526	6,504
79	Cap for inclusion of credit risk adjustments in T2 under internal ratings-based approach		8,005	8,000



## Analysis of treasury and capital risk (continued)

**Table 3: CC2 – Reconciliation of regulatory own funds to balance sheet in the audited financial statements**

These tables show the reconciliation between the balance sheet prepared for statutory and regulatory scope of consolidation. The amount shown under the regulatory scope of consolidation is not a RWA measure; it is based on an accounting measure and cannot be directly reconciled to other tables in this report.

As at 31 December 2023		Balance sheet as in published financial statements	Under regulatory scope of consolidation	
		Ref <sup>†</sup>	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>Assets</b>				
1	Cash and balances at central banks <sup>a</sup>		1,040,469	1,040,469
2	Trading portfolio assets		26,803,692	26,803,692
3	Derivative financial instruments		10,577,863	10,577,863
4	Financial assets mandatorily at fair value		76,884,058	76,884,058
5	Loans and other receivables at amortised cost		51,255,016	51,255,016
6	Other assets		409,584	409,584
<b>7</b>	<b>Total assets</b>		<b>166,970,682</b>	<b>166,970,682</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>				
1	Trading portfolio liabilities		16,126,023	16,126,023
2	Derivative financial instruments		12,667,530	12,667,530
3	Repurchase agreements at amortised cost		2,543,951	2,543,951
4	Financial liabilities designated at fair value		57,145,127	57,145,127
5	Borrowings		75,573,160	75,573,160
6	Deferred tax liabilities		264	264
7	Current tax liabilities		38,171	38,171
8	Other liabilities		484,051	484,051
<b>9</b>	<b>Total liabilities</b>		<b>164,578,277</b>	<b>164,578,277</b>
<b>Equity</b>				
1	Called up share capital and share premium <sup>b</sup>		571,071	571,070
2	- Of which: amount eligible for CET1	a	571,071	571,070
3	- Of which: amount eligible for AT1		—	—
4	Other equity instruments	d	500,000	500,000
5	Other reserves	c	250,000	250,000
6	Retained earnings	b	1,071,334	1,071,334
<b>7</b>	<b>Total equity excluding non-controlling interests</b>		<b>2,392,405</b>	<b>2,392,404</b>
8	Non-controlling interests		—	—
<b>9</b>	<b>Total equity</b>		<b>2,392,405</b>	<b>2,392,404</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Total liabilities and equity</b>		<b>166,970,682</b>	<b>166,970,681</b>

**Notes:**

† The references (a) – (d) identify balance sheet components that are used in the calculation of regulatory capital in Table 2: Composition of regulatory capital on page 04.

a. Cash and balances at central banks includes other demand deposits.

b. Share capital under regulatory scope of consolidation excludes €1000 of disclaimed preference shares.

## Analysis of treasury and capital risk (continued)

**Table 4: RWAs by risk type**

This table shows RWAs by risk type.

	Credit risk		Counterparty credit risk				Market risk		Operational risk	Total RWAs
	Std	AIRB	Std	AIRB	Settlement risk	CVA	Std	IMA		
As at 31 December 2023	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>Barclays Capital Securities Limited</b>	<b>61,692</b>	<b>310,007</b>	<b>1,020,351</b>	<b>1,024,191</b>	<b>58,117</b>	<b>8,747</b>	<b>1,479,273</b>	<b>3,534,103</b>	<b>1,509,274</b>	<b>9,005,755</b>
As at 31 December 2022										
<b>Barclays Capital Securities Limited</b>	56,341	199,028	463,983	1,134,289	104,475	843	1,551,845	5,944,581	1,534,941	10,990,325

**Table 5: OV1 – Overview of risk weighted exposure amounts**

The table shows RWAs and minimum capital requirement by risk type and approach.

		Risk weighted exposure amounts (RWEAs)		Total own funds requirements	
		As at 31.12.23	As at 31.12.22	As at 31.12.23	As at 31.12.22
		€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's
1	Credit risk (excluding CCR)	<b>371,699</b>	255,369	<b>29,736</b>	20,429
2	Of which the standardised approach	<b>61,692</b>	56,341	<b>4,935</b>	4,507
3	Of which the foundation IRB (FIRB) approach	—	—	—	—
4	Of which: slotting approach	—	—	—	—
UK 4a	Of which: equities under the simple risk weight approach	—	—	—	—
5	Of which the advanced IRB (AIRB) approach	<b>310,007</b>	199,028	<b>24,801</b>	15,922
6	Counterparty credit risk - CCR	<b>2,053,289</b>	1,599,115	<b>164,263</b>	127,929
7	Of which the standardised approach	<b>10,170</b>	9,618	<b>814</b>	769
8	Of which internal model method (IMM)	<b>1,481,742</b>	1,143,420	<b>118,539</b>	91,474
UK 8a	Of which exposures to a CCP	<b>23,603</b>	12,819	<b>1,888</b>	1,026
UK 8b	Of which credit valuation adjustment - CVA	<b>8,747</b>	843	<b>700</b>	67
9	Of which other CCR	<b>529,027</b>	432,414	<b>42,322</b>	34,593
15	Settlement risk	<b>58,117</b>	104,475	<b>4,649</b>	8,358
16	Securitisation exposures in the non-trading book (after the cap)	—	—	—	—
17	Of which SEC-IRBA approach	—	—	—	—
18	Of which SEC-ERBA (including IAA)	—	—	—	—
19	Of which SEC-SA approach	—	—	—	—
UK 19a	Of which 1250%/ deduction	—	—	—	—
20	Position, foreign exchange and commodities risks (Market risk)	<b>5,013,376</b>	7,496,426	<b>401,070</b>	599,714
21	Of which the standardised approach	<b>1,479,273</b>	1,551,845	<b>118,342</b>	124,148
22	Of which IMA	<b>3,534,103</b>	5,944,581	<b>282,728</b>	475,567
UK 22a	Large exposures	—	—	—	—
23	Operational risk	<b>1,509,274</b>	1,534,941	<b>120,742</b>	122,795
UK 23a	Of which basic indicator approach	—	—	—	—
UK 23b	Of which standardised approach	<b>1,509,274</b>	1,534,941	<b>120,742</b>	122,795
UK 23c	Of which advanced measurement approach	—	—	—	—
24	Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk weight) (For information)	—	—	—	—
<b>29</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>9,005,755</b>	10,990,325	<b>720,460</b>	879,226

Overall RWAs decreased by £1,985m to £9,006m in the year (December 2022: £10,990m) primarily due to:

- Market risk RWAs decreased by £2,483m to £5,013m (December 2022: £7,496m) primarily driven by SVaR and VaR
- Counterparty credit risk RWAs increased by £454m to £2,053m (December 2022: £1,599m) primarily due to decrease in the parental guarantee facility partially offset by a decrease in the trading activities

## Analysis of treasury and capital risk (continued)

Tables 6, 7 and 8 below show a subset of the information included in table 4, focused on positions captured under modelled treatment.

**Table 6: CR8 – RWEA flow statements of credit risk exposures under the advanced IRB approach**

The total in this table shows the contribution of credit risk RWAs under the advanced IRB approach excluding Securitisation and non-credit obligation assets and hence will not directly reconcile to the credit risk advanced IRB RWAs in table 4.

		Twelve months ended 31.12.2023
		€ 000's
<b>1</b>	<b>Risk weighted exposure amount as at the end of the previous reporting period</b>	<b>120,266</b>
2	Asset size	<b>102,147</b>
3	Asset quality	<b>(22,715)</b>
4	Model updates	—
5	Methodology and policy	<b>7,823</b>
6	Acquisitions and disposals	—
7	Foreign exchange movements	<b>(18,012)</b>
8	Other	—
<b>9</b>	<b>Risk weighted exposure amount as at the end of the reporting period</b>	<b>189,509</b>

Advanced credit risk RWAs increased by £70m to £190m in the year (December 2022: £120m) primarily driven by £102m increase in asset size due to termination of a parental guarantee facility.

**Table 7: CCR7– RWEA flow statements of CCR exposures under the IMM**

The total in this table shows the contribution of Internal Model Method (IMM) exposures to CCR RWAs (under both standardised and AIRB) in table 4.

		Twelve months ended 31.12.2023
		€ 000's
<b>1</b>	<b>Risk weighted exposure amount as at the end of the previous reporting period</b>	<b>1,143,420</b>
2	Asset size	<b>310,062</b>
3	Credit quality of counterparties	<b>73,960</b>
4	Model updates (IMM only)	—
5	Methodology and policy (IMM only)	—
6	Acquisitions and disposals	—
7	Foreign exchange movements	<b>(45,700)</b>
8	Other	—
<b>9</b>	<b>Risk weighted exposure amount as at the end of the reporting period</b>	<b>1,481,742</b>

IMM RWAs increased by £338m to £1,482m in the year (December 2022: £1,143m) primarily driven by reduction in parental guarantee facility.

## Analysis of treasury and capital risk (continued)

**Table 8: MR2-B – RWA flow statements of market risk exposures under the IMA**

This table shows the contribution of market risk RWA covered by internal models (i.e. value at risk (VaR), stressed value at risk (SVaR) and incremental risk charge (IRC).

		Twelve month ended 31.12.2023					Total own funds requirements € 000's
		VaR	SVaR	IRC	Other	Total RWEAs	
		€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	
<b>1</b>	<b>RWAs at previous period end</b>	<b>1,305,803</b>	<b>3,855,224</b>	<b>5,521</b>	<b>778,032</b>	<b>5,944,581</b>	<b>475,567</b>
1a	Regulatory adjustment <sup>a</sup>	(732,519)	(2,023,064)	(906)	—	(2,756,489)	(220,520)
1b	RWAs at the end of previous reporting period (end of the day)	573,284	1,832,160	4,615	778,032	3,188,092	255,047
2	Movement in risk levels	(153,582)	128,042	3,821	(233,457)	(255,176)	(20,414)
3	Model updates/changes	—	—	—	—	—	—
4	Methodology and policy	—	—	—	—	—	—
5	Acquisitions and disposals	—	—	—	—	—	—
6	Foreign exchange movements	—	—	—	—	—	—
7	Other	—	—	—	—	—	—
8a	RWAs at the end of the reporting period (end of the day)	419,702	1,960,202	8,436	544,575	2,932,916	234,633
8b	Regulatory adjustment <sup>b</sup>	231,680	369,508	—	—	601,187	48,095
<b>8</b>	<b>RWAs at the end of the disclosure period</b>	<b>651,382</b>	<b>2,329,710</b>	<b>8,436</b>	<b>544,575</b>	<b>3,534,103</b>	<b>282,728</b>

**Notes:**

- a. Row 1a reflects the difference between reported RWA (row 1) and the relevant spot measure (row 1b) for the previous period.  
b. Row 8b reflects the difference between the relevant spot measure (row 8a) and reported RWA (row 8) for the current period.

Modelled Market risk RWAs decreased by £2,410m to £3,534m (December 2022: £5,945m) primarily driven by SVaR and VaR.

## Analysis of treasury and capital risk (continued)

### Leverage ratio and exposures

The following leverage tables show the components of the leverage ratio using the UK leverage ratio framework (UKLRF) definition for leverage exposure and Tier 1 capital.

**Table 9: LR1 - Summary of reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposures<sup>a</sup>**

		As at 31st December 2023	As at 31st December 2022
		€ 000's	€ 000's
1	Total assets as per published financial statements <sup>b</sup>	<b>166,970,682</b>	141,704,098
6	Adjustment for regular-way purchases and sales of financial assets subject to trade date accounting	<b>(12,774,034)</b>	(10,545,290)
8	Adjustments for derivative financial instruments	<b>2,268,307</b>	53,848,077
9	Adjustment for securities financing transactions (SFTs)	<b>4,640,580</b>	6,002,467
10	Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (i.e. conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures)	<b>194,949</b>	189,171
11	Adjustment for prudent valuation adjustments and specific and general provisions which have reduced Tier 1 capital	<b>(108,530)</b>	(118,685)
UK-11a	(Adjustment for exposures excluded from the total exposure measure in accordance with point (c) of Article 429a(1) CRR)	<b>(111,828,268)</b>	(138,386,396)
12	Other adjustments	<b>(56,676)</b>	(6,121)
<b>13</b>	<b>Total exposure measure</b>	<b>49,307,010</b>	52,687,321

**Notes:**

a. BCSL does not apply any transitional arrangements of the CRR as amended by CRR II.

b. The BCSL total assets represents the total assets as published in page 25 of BCSL 2023 Financial Statements.

## Analysis of treasury and capital risk (continued)

**Table 10: LR2 - Leverage ratio common disclosure<sup>a</sup>**

This table shows the leverage ratio calculation and includes additional breakdowns for the leverage exposure measure.

	As at 31st December 2023	As at 31st December 2022
	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>On-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)</b>		
1 On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives, SFTs, but including collateral)	<b>67,060,736</b>	55,051,866
3 Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions	<b>(52,296)</b>	(4,872)
6 Asset amounts deducted in determining tier 1 capital (leverage)	<b>(112,909)</b>	(119,934)
<b>7 Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)</b>	<b>66,895,531</b>	54,927,060
<b>Derivative exposures</b>		
8 Replacement cost associated with SA-CCR derivatives transactions (i.e. net of eligible cash variation margin)	<b>55,694</b>	1,144,266
9 Add-on amounts for potential future exposure associated with SA-CCR derivatives transactions	<b>12,790,303</b>	67,817,498
11 Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives	<b>89,588</b>	69,714
12 Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit derivatives	<b>(89,415)</b>	(69,714)
<b>13 Total derivatives exposures</b>	<b>12,846,170</b>	68,961,764
<b>Securities financing transaction (SFT) exposures</b>		
14 Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjustment for sales accounting transactions	<b>76,558,048</b>	60,993,256
16 Counterparty credit risk exposure for SFT assets	<b>4,640,580</b>	6,002,466
<b>18 Total securities financing transaction exposures</b>	<b>81,198,628</b>	66,995,722
<b>Other off-balance sheet exposures</b>		
19 Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount	<b>974,746</b>	945,853
20 Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts	<b>(779,797)</b>	(756,682)
<b>22 Off-balance sheet exposures</b>	<b>194,949</b>	189,171
<b>Excluded exposures</b>		
UK-22a (Exposures excluded from the total exposure measure in accordance with point (c) of Article 429a(1) of the CRR)	<b>(111,828,268)</b>	(138,386,396)
<b>UK-22k (Total exempted exposures)</b>	<b>(111,828,268)</b>	(138,386,396)
<b>Capital and total exposure measure</b>		
23 Tier 1 capital (leverage)	<b>2,242,631</b>	2,110,199
24 Total exposure measure including claims on central banks	<b>49,307,010</b>	52,687,321
<b>UK-24b Total exposure measure excluding claims on central banks</b>	<b>49,307,010</b>	52,687,321
<b>Leverage ratio</b>		
25 Leverage ratio excluding claims on central banks (%)	<b>4.5%</b>	4.0%
UK-25a Fully loaded ECL accounting model leverage ratio excluding claims on central banks (%)	<b>4.5%</b>	4.0%
UK-25c Leverage ratio including claims on central banks (%)	<b>4.5%</b>	4.0%
26 Regulatory minimum leverage ratio requirement (%)	<b>3.3%</b>	
<b>Additional leverage ratio disclosure requirements - leverage ratio buffers</b>		
27 Leverage ratio buffer (%)	<b>0.1%</b>	
<b>Additional leverage ratio disclosure requirements - disclosure of mean values</b>		
28 Mean of daily values of gross SFT assets, after adjustment for sale accounting transactions and netted of amounts of associated cash payables and cash receivable <sup>b</sup>	<b>70,548,679</b>	
29 Quarter-end value of gross SFT assets, after adjustment for sale accounting transactions and netted of amounts of associated cash payables and cash receivables <sup>b</sup>	<b>76,558,048</b>	
UK-31 Average total exposure measure including claims on central banks <sup>b</sup>	<b>51,821,086</b>	
UK-32 Average total exposure measure excluding claims on central banks <sup>b</sup>	<b>51,821,086</b>	
UK-33 Average leverage ratio including claims on central banks <sup>b</sup>	<b>4.3%</b>	
UK-34 Average leverage ratio excluding claims on central banks <sup>b</sup>	<b>4.3%</b>	

**Notes:**

a. BCSL does not apply any transitional arrangements of the CRR as amended by CRR II.

b. No comparatives are provided for additional leverage ratio disclosure requirements given this is the first reporting year.

## Analysis of treasury and capital risk (continued)

**Table 11: LR3 - Split-up of on balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives, SFTs and exempted exposures)**

The table shows a breakdown of the on-balance sheet exposures excluding derivatives, SFTs and exempted exposures, by regulatory asset class.

		As at 31st December 2023	As at 31st December 2022
		€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>UK-1</b>	<b>Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives, SFTs, and exempted exposures), of</b>	<b>28,777,274</b>	29,741,611
UK-2	Trading book exposures	<b>27,817,912</b>	28,966,984
UK-3	Banking book exposures, of which:	<b>959,362</b>	774,627
UK-5	Exposures treated as sovereigns	<b>64,238</b>	61,822
UK-7	Institutions	<b>709,167</b>	476,009
UK-10	Corporates	<b>64,900</b>	152,535
UK-11	Exposures in default	<b>559</b>	5,499
UK-12	Other exposures (e.g. equity, securitisations, and other non-credit obligation assets)	<b>120,498</b>	78,762

## Management of Treasury and Capital Risk

### Capital Risk Management

Capital risk is managed through ongoing monitoring and management of the capital and leverage position, regular stress testing and a robust capital governance framework. The objectives of the framework are to maintain adequate capital for BCSL to withstand the impact of the risks that may arise under normal and stressed conditions, and maintain adequate capital to cover current and forecast business needs and associated risks to provide a viable and sustainable business offering. BCSL aims to prudently manage its overall leverage position (including risk of excessive leverage) by utilising plausible stress scenarios, reviewing and deploying management actions in response to deteriorating economic and commercial positions. In order to manage contingent leverage risk, BCSL considers the context from which the business consumption arises, the impact of client utilisation on leverage and the available actions to manage.

## Analysis of credit risk

### Analysis of capital requirements and exposures for credit risk

**Table 12: CR4 - Standardised approach - Credit risk exposure and CRM effects**

This table shows the impact of credit risk mitigation (CRM) and credit conversion factors (CCF) on exposure values, broken down by regulatory exposure class. This table includes exposures subject to the standardised approach only.

The term 'before CCF and CRM' means the original gross exposures before the application of credit conversion factor and before the application of risk mitigation techniques.

	Exposures before CCF and CRM		Exposures post-CCF and CRM		RWA and RWA density		
	On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	RWA	RWA density	
	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	%	
<b>As at 31 December 2023</b>							
1	Central governments or central banks	64,238	—	64,238	—	40,830	64%
2	Regional governments or local authorities	—	—	—	—	—	—
3	Public sector entities	—	—	—	—	—	—
4	Multilateral development banks	—	—	—	—	—	—
5	International organisations	—	—	—	—	—	—
6	Institutions	41,206,355	—	41,206,355	60,147	444	0%
7	Corporates	19,842	—	19,842	—	19,859	100%
8	Retail	—	—	—	—	—	—
9	Secured by mortgages on immovable property	—	—	—	—	—	—
10	Exposures in default	559	—	559	—	559	100%
11	Exposures associated with particularly high risk	—	—	—	—	—	—
12	Covered bonds	—	—	—	—	—	—
13	Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	—	—	—	—	—	—
14	Collective investment undertakings	—	—	—	—	—	—
15	Equity	—	—	—	—	—	—
16	Other items	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>17</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>41,290,994</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>41,290,994</b>	<b>60,147</b>	<b>61,692</b>	<b>—</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2022</b>							
1	Central governments or central banks	61,822	—	61,822	—	40,645	66%
2	Regional governments or local authorities	—	—	—	—	—	—
3	Public sector entities	—	—	—	—	—	—
4	Multilateral development banks	—	—	—	—	—	—
5	International organisations	—	—	—	—	—	—
6	Institutions	24,762,589	—	24,789,495	150,483	5	0%
7	Corporates	15,702	—	15,691	—	15,691	100%
8	Retail	—	—	—	—	—	—
9	Secured by mortgages on immovable property	—	—	—	—	—	—
10	Exposures in default	5,499	—	—	—	—	—
11	Exposures associated with particularly high risk	—	—	—	—	—	—
12	Covered bonds	—	—	—	—	—	—
13	Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	—	—	—	—	—	—
14	Collective investment undertakings	—	—	—	—	—	—
15	Equity	—	—	—	—	—	—
16	Other items	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>17</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>24,845,611</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>24,867,008</b>	<b>150,483</b>	<b>56,341</b>	<b>—</b>

Standardised credit risk RWAs remained broadly stable at €61.7m (December 2022: €56.3m).



## Analysis of credit risk (continued)

**Table 13: CR7 – IRB approach – Effect on the RWEAs of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques**

This table shows the effect of credit derivatives on the advanced IRB credit risk approach and will not directly reconcile to the credit risk advanced IRB RWAs in table 4.

	Pre-credit derivatives risk weighted exposure amount		Actual risk weighted exposure amount	
	As at 31 December 2023	As at 31 December 2022	As at 31 December 2023	As at 31 December 2022
	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's
5 <b>Exposures under AIRB</b>	<b>189,509</b>	120,266	<b>189,509</b>	120,266
6 Central governments and central banks	—	—	—	—
7 Institutions	<b>159,942</b>	77,893	<b>159,942</b>	77,893
8 Corporates	<b>29,567</b>	42,373	<b>29,567</b>	42,373
8.1 <i>of Corporates - which SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—
8.2 <i>of which Corporates - Specialised lending</i>	—	—	—	—
9 Retail	—	—	—	—
9.1 <i>of which Retail – SMEs - Secured by immovable property collateral</i>	—	—	—	—
9.2 <i>of which Retail – non-SMEs - Secured by immovable property collateral</i>	—	—	—	—
9.3 <i>of which Retail – Qualifying revolving</i>	—	—	—	—
9.4 <i>of which Retail – SMEs - Other</i>	—	—	—	—
9.5 <i>of which Retail – Non-SMEs - Other</i>	—	—	—	—
10 <b>TOTAL</b>	<b>189,509</b>	120,266	<b>189,509</b>	120,266

## Analysis of credit risk (continued)

**Table 14: CR7-A – IRB approach – Disclosure of the extent of the use of CRM techniques**

This table shows the extent of the use of CRM techniques broken down by exposure classes under the IRB approach. The exposure classes capture both secured and unsecured balances, resulting in the CRM coverage percentages being calculated on an aggregate basis.

		Credit risk Mitigation techniques											Credit risk Mitigation methods in the calculation of RWEAs	
		Funded credit Protection (FCP)							Unfunded credit Protection (UFCP)				RWEA post all CRM assigned to the obligor exposure class	RWEA with substitution effects
		Total exposures	Part of exposures covered by Financial Collaterals	Part of exposures covered by Other eligible collaterals	Part of exposures covered by Immovable property Collaterals	Part of exposures covered by Receivables	Part of exposures covered by Other physical collateral	Part of exposures covered by Other funded credit protection	Part of exposures covered by Cash on deposit	Part of exposures covered by Life insurance policies	Part of exposures covered by Instruments held by a third party	Part of exposures covered by Guarantees		
<b>A-IRB</b>		€ 000's	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>As at 31 December 2023</b>														
1	Central governments and central banks	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2	Institutions	<b>856,995</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	<b>159,942</b>	<b>159,942</b>
3	Corporates	<b>52,134</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	<b>29,567</b>	<b>29,567</b>
3.1	<i>Of which Corporates – SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3.2	<i>Of which Corporates – Specialised lending</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3.3	<i>Of which Corporates – Other</i>	<b>52,134</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	<b>29,567</b>	<b>29,567</b>
4	Retail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4.1	<i>Of which Retail – Immovable property SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4.2	<i>Of which Retail – Immovable property non-SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4.3	<i>Of which Retail – Qualifying revolving</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4.4	<i>Of which Retail – Other SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4.5	<i>Of which Retail – Other non-SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>5</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>909,129</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	<b>189,509</b>	<b>189,509</b>

## Analysis of credit risk (continued)

Table 14: CR7-A – IRB approach – Disclosure of the extent of the use of CRM techniques (continued)

		Credit risk Mitigation techniques											Credit risk Mitigation methods in the calculation of RWEAs	
		Funded credit Protection (FCP)							Unfunded credit Protection (UFCP)				RWEA post all CRM assigned to the obligor exposure class	RWEA with substitution effects
		Total exposures	Part of exposures covered by Financial Collaterals	Part of exposures covered by Other eligible collaterals	Part of exposures covered by Immovable property Collaterals	Part of exposures covered by Receivables	Part of exposures covered by Other physical collateral	Part of exposures covered by Other funded credit protection	Part of exposures covered by Cash on deposit	Part of exposures covered by Life insurance policies	Part of exposures covered by Instruments held by a third party	Part of exposures covered by Guarantees		
<b>A-IRB</b>		€ 000's	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>As at 31 December 2022</b>														
1	Central governments and central banks	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2	Institutions	473,405	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	77,893	77,893
3	Corporates	290,800	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	42,373	42,373
3.1	<i>Of which Corporates – SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3.2	<i>Of which Corporates – Specialised lending</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3.3	<i>Of which Corporates – Other</i>	290,800	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	42,373	42,373
4	Retail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4.1	<i>Of which Retail – Immovable property SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4.2	<i>Of which Retail – Immovable property non-SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4.3	<i>Of which Retail – Qualifying revolving</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4.4	<i>Of which Retail – Other SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4.5	<i>Of which Retail – Other non-SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>5</b>	<b>Total</b>	764,205	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	120,266	120,266

## Analysis of credit risk (continued)

**Table 15: CR1 - Performing and non-performing exposures and related provisions<sup>a</sup>**

This table provides an overview of the credit quality of on- and off-balance sheet non-performing exposures and related impairments, provisions and valuation adjustments by portfolio and exposure class.

	Gross carrying amount/nominal						Accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions						Collateral and financial guarantees received		
	Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures			Performing exposures – accumulated impairment and provisions			Non-performing exposures – accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions			Accumulated partial write-off	On performing exposures	On non-performing exposures
	Of which Stage 1	Of which Stage 2		Of which Stage 2	Of which Stage 3		Of which Stage 1	Of which Stage 2		Of which Stage 2	Of which Stage 3				
	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>As at 31 December 2023</b>															
<b>005 Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits</b>	<b>1,039,910</b>	<b>1,039,910</b>	—	<b>5,196</b>	—	<b>5,196</b>	—	—	—	<b>(4,637)</b>	—	<b>(4,637)</b>	—	—	—
<b>010 Loans and advances</b>	<b>127,918,893</b>	<b>51,255,328</b>	—	—	—	—	<b>(312)</b>	<b>(312)</b>	—	—	—	—	—	<b>76,533,571</b>	—
020 Central banks	553	353	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	201	—
030 General governments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
040 Credit institutions	<b>101,515,854</b>	<b>39,671,923</b>	—	—	—	—	<b>(312)</b>	<b>(312)</b>	—	—	—	—	—	<b>61,737,142</b>	—
050 Other financial corporations	<b>26,399,608</b>	<b>11,581,518</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	<b>14,794,884</b>	—
060 Non-financial corporations	<b>2,878</b>	<b>1,534</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	<b>1,344</b>	—
070 <i>Of which SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
080 Households	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>090 Debt securities</b>	<b>220,493</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
100 Central banks	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
110 General governments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
120 Credit institutions	<b>220,493</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
130 Other financial corporations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
140 Non-financial corporations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>150 Off-balance-sheet exposures</b>	<b>974,746</b>	<b>974,746</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
160 Central banks	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
170 General governments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
180 Credit institutions	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
190 Other financial corporations	<b>974,746</b>	<b>974,746</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
200 Non-financial corporations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
210 Households	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>220 Total</b>	<b>130,154,042</b>	<b>53,269,984</b>	—	<b>5,196</b>	—	<b>5,196</b>	<b>(312)</b>	<b>(312)</b>	—	<b>(4,637)</b>	—	<b>(4,637)</b>	—	<b>76,533,571</b>	—

## Analysis of credit risk (continued)

Table 15: CR1 - Performing and non-performing exposures and related provisions (continued)

	Gross carrying amount/nominal						Accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions						Collateral and financial guarantees received		
	Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures			Performing exposures – accumulated impairment and provisions			Non-performing exposures – accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions			Accumulated partial write-off	On performing exposures	On non-performing exposures
	Of which Stage 1	Of which Stage 2		Of which Stage 2	Of which Stage 3		Of which Stage 1	Of which Stage 2		Of which Stage 2	Of which Stage 3				
	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's
As at 31 December 2022															
<b>Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits</b>	849,014	849,014	—	5,499	—	5,499	—	—	—	(4,907)	—	(4,907)	—	—	—
<b>010 Loans and advances</b>	96,898,709	35,774,494	—	—	—	—	(185)	(185)	—	—	—	—	—	60,993,648	—
020 Central banks	133,524	2,017	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	131,507	—
030 General governments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
040 Credit institutions	72,366,484	27,277,757	—	—	—	—	(185)	(185)	—	—	—	—	—	44,958,168	—
050 Other financial corporations	24,353,973	8,470,611	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	15,883,354	—
060 Non-financial corporations	44,728	24,109	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20,619	—
070 Of which SMEs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
080 Households	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>090 Debt securities</b>	227,844	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
100 Central banks	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
110 General governments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
120 Credit institutions	227,844	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
130 Other financial corporations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
140 Non-financial corporations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>150 Off-balance-sheet exposures</b>	945,856	187,528	758,328	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
160 Central banks	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
170 General governments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
180 Credit institutions	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
190 Other financial corporations	945,856	187,528	758,328	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
200 Non-financial corporations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
210 Households	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>220 Total</b>	<b>98,921,423</b>	<b>36,811,036</b>	<b>758,328</b>	<b>5,499</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>5,499</b>	<b>(185)</b>	<b>(185)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>(4,907)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>(4,907)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>60,993,648</b>	<b>—</b>

## Notes:

a. Loans at fair value through profit and loss are included in the total performing and non-performing exposures but no staging analysis is provided as these instruments are not eligible for staging.

Performing gross carrying exposures increased by £31,233m to £130,154m (December 2022: £98,921m) primarily due to intra group funding and increased business activities within Credit Institutions.

## Analysis of credit risk (continued)

**Table 16: CR1-A - Maturity of exposures**

This table shows the on- and off- balance sheet net credit risk exposures by residual contractual maturity, split by either loans and advances or debt securities. The amounts shown are based on IFRS accounting values according to the regulatory scope of consolidation.

		Net Exposure Value					Total
		On demand	<= 1 year	> 1 year <= 5 years	> 5 years	No stated maturity	
		€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	
<b>As at 31 December 2023</b>							
1	Loans and advances	974,746	126,860,585	1,052,005	5,991	—	128,893,327
2	Debt securities	—	10,045	210,448	—	—	220,493
<b>3</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>974,746</b>	<b>126,870,630</b>	<b>1,262,453</b>	<b>5,991</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>129,113,820</b>
<b>As at 31 December 2022</b>							
1	Loans and advances	945,856	95,744,969	1,139,967	13,587	—	97,844,379
2	Debt securities	—	119,043	107,454	1,348	—	227,844
<b>3</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>945,856</b>	<b>95,864,012</b>	<b>1,247,421</b>	<b>14,935</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>98,072,223</b>

Loans and advances increased by €31,049m to €128,894m (December 2022: €97,844m) primarily due to intra group funding and increased business activities

**Table 17: CR3 – CRM techniques overview: Disclosure of the use of credit risk mitigation techniques**

This table shows a breakdown of on balance sheet unsecured and secured credit risk exposures secured by various methods of collateral for both loans and advances and debt securities. The amounts shown are based on IFRS accounting values according to the regulatory scope of consolidation.

		Unsecured carrying amount	Secured carrying amount	Of which secured by collateral	Of which secured by financial guarantees	Of which secured by credit derivatives
		€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>As at 31 December 2023</b>						
1	Loans and advances	52,430,428	76,533,571	76,533,571	—	—
2	Debt securities	220,493	—	—	—	—
<b>3</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>52,650,921</b>	<b>76,533,571</b>	<b>76,533,571</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>
4	<i>Of which non-performing exposures</i>	<b>5,196</b>	—	—	—	—
5	<i>Of which defaulted</i>	<b>5,196</b>	—	—	—	—
<b>As at 31 December 2022</b>						
1	Loans and advances	36,759,574	60,993,648	60,993,648	—	—
2	Debt securities	227,844	—	—	—	—
<b>3</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>36,987,418</b>	<b>60,993,648</b>	<b>60,993,648</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>
4	<i>Of which non-performing exposures</i>	5,499	—	—	—	—
5	<i>Of which defaulted</i>	5,499	—	—	—	—

Secured Loans and advances increased by €15,540m to €76,534m (December 2022: €60,994m) due to business activity.

Unsecured loans and advances increased by €15,671m to €52,430m (December 2022: €36,760m) due to intra group funding.

## Analysis of credit risk (continued)

**Table 18: CQ3 - Credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days**

This table provides an overview of the credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days. The amounts shown are based on IFRS accounting values according to the regulatory scope of consolidation.

	Gross carrying amount/nominal amount											
	Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures								
	Not past due or past due ≤ 30 days	Past due > 30 days ≤ 90 days		Unlikely to pay that are not past due or are past due ≤ 90 days	Past due > 90 days ≤ 180 days	Past due > 180 days ≤ 1 year	Past due > 1 year ≤ 2 years	Past due > 2 year ≤ 5 years	Past due > 5 year ≤ 7 years	Past due > 7 years	Of which defaulted	
As at 31 December 2023	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	
005 Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits	1,039,910	1,039,910	—	5,196	—	—	—	5,196	—	—	—	5,196
010 Loans and advances	127,918,893	127,918,893	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
020 Central banks	553	553	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
030 General governments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
040 Credit institutions	101,515,854	101,515,854	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
050 Other financial corporations	26,399,608	26,399,608	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
060 Non-financial corporations	2,878	2,878	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
070 <i>Of which SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
080 Households	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
090 Debt securities	220,493	220,493	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
100 Central banks	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
110 General governments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
120 Credit institutions	220,493	220,493	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
130 Other financial corporations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
140 Non-financial corporations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
150 Off-balance-sheet exposures	974,746		—									—
160 Central banks	—		—									—
170 General governments	—		—									—
180 Credit institutions	—		—									—
190 Other financial corporations	974,746		—									—
200 Non-financial corporations	—		—									—
210 Households	—		—									—
220 <b>Total</b>	<b>130,154,042</b>	<b>129,179,296</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>5,196</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>5,196</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>5,196</b>

## Analysis of credit risk (continued)

Table 18: CQ3 - Credit quality of performing and non-performing exposures by past due days (continued)

	Gross carrying amount/nominal amount											
	Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures								
	Not past due or past due ≤ 30 days	Past due > 30 days ≤ 90 days		Unlikely to pay that are not past due or are past due ≤ 90 days	Past due > 90 days ≤ 180 days	Past due > 180 days ≤ 1 year	Past due > 1 year ≤ 2 years	Past due > 2 year ≤ 5 years	Past due > 5 year ≤ 7 years	Past due > 7 years	Of which defaulted	
As at 31 December 2022	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's
005 Cash balances at central banks and other demand deposits	849,014	849,014	—	5,499	—	—	5,499	—	—	—	—	5,499
010 Loans and advances	96,898,709	96,898,709	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
020 Central banks	133,524	133,524	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
030 General governments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
040 Credit institutions	72,366,484	72,366,484	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
050 Other financial corporations	24,353,973	24,353,973	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
060 Non-financial corporations	44,728	44,728	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
070 <i>Of which SMEs</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
080 Households	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
090 Debt securities	227,844	227,844	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
100 Central banks	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
110 General governments	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
120 Credit institutions	227,844	227,844	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
130 Other financial corporations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
140 Non-financial corporations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
150 Off-balance-sheet exposures	945,856		—									—
160 Central banks	—		—									—
170 General governments	—		—									—
180 Credit institutions	—		—									—
190 Other financial corporations	945,856		—									—
200 Non-financial corporations	—		—									—
210 Households	—		—									—
220 <b>Total</b>	98,921,423	97,975,567	—	5,499	—	—	5,499	—	—	—	—	5,499



## Analysis of credit risk (continued)

**Table 19: CQ4 - Quality of non-performing exposures by geography<sup>a</sup>**

This table shows the credit quality of on balance sheet and off balance sheet exposure for loans and advances, debt securities derivatives and equity instruments by geography. The amounts shown are based on IFRS accounting values according to the regulatory scope of consolidation.

	Gross carrying/Nominal amount				Accumulated impairment	Provisions on off-balance sheet commitments and financial guarantee given	Accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk on non-performing exposures
	of which: non-performing		of which: subject to impairment				
	of which: defaulted						
As at 31 December 2023	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>On balance sheet exposures</b>	<b>129,184,492</b>	<b>5,196</b>	<b>5,196</b>	<b>52,300,434</b>	<b>(4,949)</b>		—
UNITED KINGDOM	110,037,040	—	—	42,666,973	(312)		—
UNITED STATES	6,805,863	—	—	782,900	—		—
JAPAN	5,443,375	—	—	5,425,036	—		—
KOREA, REPUBLIC OF	1,415,680	—	—	853,823	—		—
SINGAPORE	1,367,041	—	—	260,392	—		—
OTHER COUNTRIES	4,115,493	5,196	5,196	2,311,310	(4,637)		—
<b>Off balance sheet exposures</b>	<b>974,746</b>	—	—			—	—
UNITED KINGDOM	778,568	—	—			—	—
UNITED STATES	180,476	—	—			—	—
NETHERLANDS	15,702	—	—			—	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>130,159,238</b>	<b>5,196</b>	<b>5,196</b>	<b>52,300,434</b>	<b>(4,949)</b>	—	—
<b>As at 31 December 2022</b>							
<b>On balance sheet exposures</b>	97,981,065	5,499	5,499	36,629,006	(5,092)		—
UNITED KINGDOM	77,053,592	—	—	28,994,729	(185)		—
UNITED STATES	7,987,551	—	—	605,699	—		—
JAPAN	5,084,580	—	—	3,809,196	—		—
KOREA, REPUBLIC OF	1,646,918	—	—	619,720	—		—
HONG KONG	1,551,044	—	—	774,756	—		—
IRELAND	1,110,214	—	—	662,844	—		—
OTHER COUNTRIES	3,547,166	5,499	5,499	1,162,061	(4,907)		—
<b>Off balance sheet exposures</b>	<b>945,856</b>	—	—			—	—
UNITED KINGDOM	774,946	—	—			—	—
UNITED STATES	154,293	—	—			—	—
NETHERLANDS	16,617	—	—			—	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>98,926,921</b>	<b>5,499</b>	<b>5,499</b>	<b>36,629,006</b>	<b>(5,092)</b>	—	—

**Notes:**

a. Countries that have more than 1% of the total gross exposure are disclosed in the table and countries with <1% gross exposure are aggregated within 'other countries'

## Analysis of credit risk (continued)

**Table 20: CQ5 - Credit quality of loans and advances to non-financial corporations by industry**

This table shows the credit quality of loans and advances on balance sheet exposure to non-financial corporation by industry types. The amounts shown are based on IFRS accounting values according to the regulatory scope of consolidation.

	Gross carrying amount				Accumulated impairment	Accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk on non-performing exposures
	of which: non-performing		of which: loans and advances subject to impairment			
	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's
<b>As at 31 December 2023</b>						
010 Agriculture, forestry and fishing	—	—	—	—	—	—
020 Mining and quarrying	12	—	—	12	—	—
030 Manufacturing	18	—	—	18	—	—
040 Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	—	—	—	—	—	—
050 Water supply	—	—	—	—	—	—
060 Construction	—	—	—	—	—	—
070 Wholesale and retail trade	—	—	—	—	—	—
080 Transport and storage	—	—	—	—	—	—
090 Accommodation and food service activities	—	—	—	—	—	—
100 Information and communication	56	—	—	56	—	—
110 Financial and insurance activities	—	—	—	—	—	—
120 Real estate activities	—	—	—	—	—	—
130 Professional, scientific and technical activities	—	—	—	—	—	—
140 Administrative and support service activities	2,045	—	—	1,448	—	—
Public administration and defense, compulsory social security	—	—	—	—	—	—
150 Education	747	—	—	—	—	—
170 Human health services and social work activities	—	—	—	—	—	—
180 Arts, entertainment and recreation	—	—	—	—	—	—
190 Other services	—	—	—	—	—	—
200 <b>Total</b>	<b>2,878</b>	—	—	<b>1,534</b>	—	—
<b>As at 31 December 2022</b>						
010 Agriculture, forestry and fishing	—	—	—	—	—	—
020 Mining and quarrying	9	—	—	9	—	—
030 Manufacturing	4,747	—	—	4,747	—	—
040 Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	—	—	—	—	—	—
050 Water supply	—	—	—	—	—	—
060 Construction	—	—	—	—	—	—
070 Wholesale and retail trade	5,228	—	—	5,228	—	—
080 Transport and storage	—	—	—	—	—	—
090 Accommodation and food service activities	—	—	—	—	—	—
100 Information and communication	62	—	—	62	—	—
110 Financial and insurance activities	—	—	—	—	—	—
120 Real estate activities	—	—	—	—	—	—
130 Professional, scientific and technical activities	7,046	—	—	4,992	—	—
140 Administrative and support service activities	1,924	—	—	1,924	—	—
Public administration and defense, compulsory social security	—	—	—	—	—	—
150 Education	18,565	—	—	—	—	—
170 Human health services and social work activities	—	—	—	—	—	—
180 Arts, entertainment and recreation	—	—	—	—	—	—
190 Other services	7,147	—	—	7,147	—	—
200 <b>Total</b>	<b>44,728</b>	—	—	<b>24,109</b>	—	—

For additional disclosure related to the credit quality of assets, please see 'Note 8 Credit impairment charges/(releases)' in the Barclays PLC Annual Report 2023.

# Management of credit risk mitigation techniques and counterparty credit risk

## Credit risk mitigation

BCSL employs a range of techniques and strategies to actively mitigate credit risks. These can broadly be divided into three types:

- netting and set-off
- collateral
- risk transfer

BCSL has detailed policies in place to maintain that credit risk mitigation is appropriately recognised and recorded. The recognition of credit risk mitigation is subject to a number of considerations including legal certainty of enforceability and effectiveness, that the valuation and liquidity of the collateral is adequately monitored, and that the value of the collateral is not materially correlated with the credit quality of the counterparty.

All three types of credit risk mitigation may be used by different areas of BCSL for exposures with a full range of counterparties. For instance, businesses may take property, cash or other physical assets as collateral for exposures to retailers, property companies or other client types.

### Netting and set-off

In most jurisdictions in which BCSL operates, credit risk exposures can be reduced by applying netting and set-off. In exposure terms, this credit risk mitigation technique has the largest overall impact on net exposure to derivative transactions, compared with other risk mitigation techniques.

For derivative transactions, BCSL's normal practice is to enter into standard master agreements with counterparties (e.g. ISDAs). These master agreements typically allow for netting of credit risk exposure to a counterparty resulting from derivative transactions against the obligations to the counterparty in the event of default, and so produce a lower net credit exposure. These agreements may also reduce settlement exposure (e.g. for foreign exchange transactions) by allowing payments on the same day in the same currency to be set-off against one another.

Under IFRS, netting is permitted only if both of the following criteria are satisfied:

- the entity currently has a legally enforceable right to set off the recognised amounts; and
- the entity intends either to settle on a net basis, or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

### Collateral

BCSL has the ability to call on collateral in the event of default of the counterparty, comprising any or a combination of the following:

- wholesale lending: a fixed charge over commercial property and other physical assets, in various forms
- derivatives: BCSL also often seeks to enter into a margin agreement (e.g. Credit Support Annex) with counterparties with which BCSL has master netting agreements in place. These annexes to master agreements provide a mechanism for further reducing credit risk, whereby collateral (margin) is posted on a regular basis (typically daily) to collateralise the mark to market exposure of a derivative portfolio measured on a net basis. BCSL may additionally negotiate the receipt of an independent amount further mitigating risk by collateralising potential mark to market exposure moves
- reverse repurchase agreements: collateral typically comprises highly liquid securities which have been legally transferred to BCSL subject to an agreement to return them for a fixed price
- financial guarantees and similar off-balance sheet commitments: cash collateral may be held against these arrangements

### Risk transfer

A range of instruments including guarantees can be used to transfer credit risk from one counterparty to another. These mitigate credit risk in three main ways:

- if the risk is transferred to a counterparty which is more creditworthy than the original counterparty, then overall credit risk is reduced;
- where recourse to the first counterparty remains, both counterparties must default before a loss materialises. This is less likely than the default of either counterparty individually so credit risk is reduced; and
- first loss exposures across pools of credit risk can be hedged via synthetic securitisation structures, typically via CLN issuance. As these are fully funded upfront they provide for a direct reduction in credit risk exposure on referenced pools.

In order to transfer risk, BCSL has contractual guarantees in place with the parent entity Barclays Bank PLC. Further details can be found on page 73 of 2023 BCSL Financial Statements.

Risk transfer can also be used to reduce risk concentrations within portfolios lowering the impact of stress events.

Risk transfer transactions are undertaken with consideration to whether the collateral provider is correlated with the exposure, the credit worthiness of the collateral provider and legal certainty of enforceability and effectiveness. Where credit risk mitigation is deemed to transfer credit risk, this exposure is appropriately recorded against the credit risk mitigation provider.

In exposure terms, risk transfer is used most extensively as a credit risk mitigation technique for wholesale loans and derivative financial instruments.

## Off-balance sheet risk mitigation

BCSL applies fundamentally the same risk management policies for off-balance sheet risks as it does for its on-balance sheet risks. In the case of commitments to lend, counterparties/customers will be subject to the same credit management policies as for loans and advances. Collateral may be sought depending on the strength of the counterparty and the nature of the transaction.

# Management of counterparty credit risk and credit risk mitigation techniques (continued)

## Recognition of credit risk mitigation in capital calculations

Credit risk mitigation is used to reduce credit risk associated with an exposure, which may reduce potential losses in the event of obligor default or other specified credit events.

Credit risk mitigation that meets certain regulatory criteria may be used to improve risk parameters and reduce RWA consumption against a given obligor. Collateral that meets these regulatory conditions is referred to as eligible collateral. Eligibility criteria are specified in articles 195 to 204 of the CRR.

BCSL's policies and standards set out criteria for the recognition of collateral as eligible credit risk mitigation and are designed to be fully consistent with all applicable local regulations and regulatory permissions.

Where regulatory capital is calculated under advanced IRB regulations, the benefit of collateral is generally taken by adjusting LGDs. For standardised portfolios, the benefit of collateral is taken using the financial collateral comprehensive method: supervisory volatility adjustments approach.

For instruments that are deemed to transfer credit risk, in advanced IRB portfolios the protection is generally recognised by using the PD and LGD of the protection provider.

For exposures treated under the standardised approach, the impact of eligible credit risk mitigation is primarily recognised by reducing the EAD associated with the exposure that benefits from the mitigation.

## Managing concentrations within credit risk mitigation

Credit risk mitigation taken by BCSL to reduce credit risk may result in credit or market risk concentrations.

Guarantees that are treated as eligible credit risk mitigation are marked as an exposure against the guarantor and aggregated with other credit exposure to the guarantor. Limit monitoring at the counterparty level is then used for monitoring of concentrations in line with BCSL's policy.

## Counterparty credit risk

### Counterparty credit exposures for derivatives and securities financing transactions

BCSL enters into financial instruments that are traded or cleared on an exchange, including interest rate swaps, futures and options on futures. Holders of exchange traded instruments provide daily margins with cash or other securities at the exchange, to which the holders look for ultimate settlement.

BCSL also enters into financial instruments that are traded over the counter, rather than on a recognised exchange. These instruments arise mainly from standardised transactions in derivative markets. In most cases, industry standard documentation is used, most commonly in the form of a master agreement, with individual transaction confirmations. The existence of a signed master agreement is intended to give BCSL protection in situations where BCSL's counterparty is in default.

Counterparty credit exposure arises from the risk that parties are unable to meet their payment obligations under certain financial contracts such as derivatives, securities financing transactions (SFTs) (e.g. repurchase agreements), or long settlement transactions.

A Monte Carlo simulation engine is used to estimate the Potential Future Exposure (PFE) to derivative and securities financing counterparties. The exposure simulation model simulates future market states and the MTM of the derivative transactions under those states. Simulated exposures taking into account the effect of credit mitigants such as netting, collateral and mandatory break clauses can then be generated.

Credit limits for CCR are assessed and allocated using the PFE measure. A number of factors are taken into account when setting credit limits for individual counterparties, including but not limited to the credit quality and nature of the counterparty, the rationale for the trading activity entered into and any wrong-way risk considerations.

The expected exposures generated by this engine are also used as an input into both internal and regulatory capital calculations covering CCR.

'Wrong-way risk' in a derivative or SFT exposure arises when there is significant correlation between the underlying asset and the counterparty, which in the event of default would lead to a significant MTM loss to the counterparty. Specific wrong-way risk trades, which are self-referencing or reference to other entities within the same counterparty group, require approval by a senior credit officer. The exposure to the counterparty will reflect the additional risk generated by these transactions (the exposure will be consistent with jump-to-default of the reference asset assuming zero recovery).

### Derivative CCR (credit value adjustments)

As BCSL participates in derivative transactions it is exposed to CCR, which is the risk that a counterparty will fail to make the future payments agreed in the derivative contract. This is considered as a separate risk to the volatility of the MTM payment flows.

The counterparty risk arising under derivative transactions is taken into account when reporting the fair value of derivative positions. The adjustment to the value is known as credit value adjustment (CVA). It is the difference between the value of a derivative contract with a risk-free counterparty and that of a contract with the actual counterparty. This is equivalent to the cost of hedging the counterparty risk in the Credit Default Swap (CDS) market.

BCSL uses the standardised approach to calculate CVA capital charge. This approach takes account of the external credit rating of each counterparty, and incorporates the effective maturity and EAD from the calculation of the CCR.

## Management of counterparty credit risk and credit risk mitigation techniques (continued)

### Netting and collateral arrangements for derivatives and SFTs

Credit risk from derivatives and securities financing transactions (SFTs) is mitigated where possible through netting agreements whereby assets and liabilities with the same counterparty can be offset. BCSL policy requires all netting arrangements to be legally documented. The ISDA Master Agreement is BCSL's preferred agreement for documenting Over the Counter (OTC) derivatives. It provides the contractual framework within which dealing activities across a full range of OTC products are conducted, and contractually binds both parties to apply close-out netting across all outstanding transactions covered by an agreement if either party defaults or other predetermined events occur. The majority of BCSL's OTC derivative exposures are covered by ISDA master netting and ISDA CSA collateral agreements. SFTs are documented under Global Master Repurchase agreement.

Collateral may be obtained against derivative and SFTs, depending on the creditworthiness of the counterparty and/or nature of the transaction. Any non-cash collateral taken in respect of OTC trading exposures will be subject to a 'haircut', which is negotiated at the time of signing the collateral agreement. A haircut is the valuation percentage applicable to each type of collateral and will be largely based on liquidity and price volatility of the underlying security, as well as the counterparty's creditworthiness. The collateral obtained for derivatives is predominantly either cash, direct debt obligation government (G14+) bonds denominated in the domestic currency of the issuing country or debt issued by supranationals. Where BCSL has ISDA master agreements, the collateral document will be the ISDA CSA. The collateral document must give BCSL the power to realise any collateral placed with it in the event of the failure of the counterparty.

### Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process

BCSL tests its capital adequacy through its annual planning cycle by verifying it complies with the minimum regulatory requirements during the Medium-Term Plan projection period (5 years) and under its Internal Stress Test. In addition, an annual assessment of Pillar 2A risks is also submitted to the PRA in order to support the PRA in setting the BCSL-specific Individual Capital Requirements.

## Appendix A – Countercyclical Capital Buffer

**Table 21: CCyB1 - Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer**

The below table shows the geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant to the calculation of the countercyclical buffer in line with CRR Article 440. Note that exposures in the below table are prepared in accordance with CRD Article 140 and as such exclude exposures to central governments/banks, regional governments, local authorities, public sector entities, multilateral development banks, international organisations and institutions and hence the exposure values differ to those found in the Analysis of credit risk section.

	General credit exposures		Relevant credit exposures – Market risk		Securitisation exposures Exposure value for non-trading book	Total exposure value	Own fund requirements			Total	Risk-weighted exposure amounts	Own fund requirements weights	Counter-cyclical buffer rate (%)
	Exposure value under the standardised approach	Exposure value under the IRB approach	Sum of long and short positions of trading book exposures for SA	Value of trading book exposures for internal models			Relevant credit risk exposures - Credit risk	Relevant credit exposures – Market risk	Relevant credit exposures – Securitisation positions in the non-trading book				
<b>As at 31 December 2023</b>													
<b>Breakdown by country</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>€ 000's</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>%</b>
NORWAY	800	1,833	5,277	—	—	7,910	73	422	—	495	6,190	0.27%	2.5%
DENMARK	—	—	4,079	136	—	4,215	—	327	—	327	4,085	0.18%	2.5%
UNITED KINGDOM	73,507	985,721	84,971	1,728	—	1,145,927	11,626	10,456	—	22,083	276,031	12.25%	2.0%
SWEDEN	2,832	9,493	12,499	364	—	25,188	279	1,007	—	1,285	16,067	0.71%	2.0%
CZECH REPUBLIC	—	—	13	127	—	140	—	3	—	3	39	—	2.0%
ICELAND	1	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	1	—	2.0%
IRELAND	13,728	537,030	7,355	—	—	558,113	4,111	1,147	—	5,257	65,714	2.92%	1.0%
NETHERLANDS	12,036	109,059	36,879	5,174	—	163,148	2,111	2,992	—	5,103	63,788	2.83%	1.0%
AUSTRALIA	434	42,041	11,157	—	—	53,632	348	1,007	—	1,354	16,929	0.75%	1.0%
HONG KONG	37	20,340	9,938	—	—	30,315	228	1,125	—	1,354	16,920	0.75%	1.0%
GERMANY	190	20,965	87,553	1,116	—	109,824	143	7,673	—	7,816	97,706	4.34%	0.8%
FRANCE	33,539	50	71,513	5,909	—	111,011	2,683	5,959	—	8,643	108,035	4.80%	0.5%
LUXEMBOURG	12,163	176,297	5,613	730	—	194,804	1,842	693	—	2,535	31,680	1.42%	0.5%
CYPRUS	—	—	62	—	—	62	—	5	—	5	62	—	0.5%
<b>Total (countries with existing CCyB rate)</b>	<b>149,267</b>	<b>1,902,829</b>	<b>336,909</b>	<b>15,284</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>2,404,290</b>	<b>23,444</b>	<b>32,816</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>56,260</b>	<b>703,247</b>	<b>31.22%</b>	<b>—</b>
UNITED STATES	387,520	604,255	85,845	877	—	1,078,497	36,514	7,115	—	43,629	545,360	24.21%	—
TAIWAN	23,021	622,125	29,100	—	—	674,246	20,426	4,355	—	24,781	309,763	13.75%	—
JAPAN	4,764	360,816	26,473	—	—	392,054	3,829	3,627	—	7,456	93,199	4.14%	—
CHINA	—	10,967	85,738	130	—	96,835	228	6,860	—	7,088	88,604	3.93%	—
KOREA, REPUBLIC OF	29,205	50,005	30,846	—	—	110,056	2,619	2,915	—	5,534	69,176	3.07%	—
SINGAPORE	55,950	101,501	783	—	—	158,234	4,954	82	—	5,036	62,956	2.79%	—
INDIA	—	—	53,450	125	—	53,575	—	4,278	—	4,278	53,479	2.37%	—
SOUTH AFRICA	—	4,586	44,261	—	—	48,847	345	3,759	—	4,104	51,301	2.28%	—
MALAYSIA	23	41,476	573	—	—	42,071	2,894	46	—	2,940	36,753	1.63%	—
KUWAIT	—	289,036	112	—	—	289,148	2,899	9	—	2,908	36,351	1.61%	—
SWITZERLAND	—	17,925	28,243	4,332	—	50,500	134	2,267	—	2,401	30,009	1.33%	—
ITALY	10	206	27,237	5,205	—	32,660	6	2,211	—	2,217	27,713	1.23%	—
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	—	384,989	18,771	—	—	403,758	1,959	64	—	2,023	25,281	1.14%	—
<b>Total (countries with own funds requirements weights 1% or above)</b>	<b>500,493</b>	<b>2,487,887</b>	<b>431,432</b>	<b>10,669</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>3,430,481</b>	<b>76,807</b>	<b>37,588</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>114,395</b>	<b>1,429,945</b>	<b>63.48%</b>	<b>—</b>
<b>Total (rest of the world less than 1% requirement)</b>	<b>4,351</b>	<b>147,466</b>	<b>86,164</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>238,509</b>	<b>2,825</b>	<b>6,720</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>9,545</b>	<b>119,308</b>	<b>5.30%</b>	<b>—</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>654,111</b>	<b>4,538,182</b>	<b>854,505</b>	<b>26,481</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>6,073,280</b>	<b>103,076</b>	<b>77,124</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>180,200</b>	<b>2,252,500</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>—</b>

## Appendix A – Countercyclical Capital Buffer

**Table 21: CCyB1 - Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer (continued)**

	General credit exposures		Relevant credit exposures – Market risk		Securitisation exposures Exposure value for non-trading book	Total exposure value	Own fund requirements			Risk-weighted exposure amounts	Own fund requirements weights	Counter-cyclical buffer rate (%)	
	Exposure value under the standardised approach	Exposure value under the IRB approach	Sum of long and short positions of trading book exposures for SA	Value of trading book exposures for internal models			Relevant credit risk exposures - Credit risk	Relevant credit exposures – Market risk	Relevant credit exposures – Securitisation positions in the non-trading book				Total
As at 31 December 2022	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	€ 000's	%	%
<b>Breakdown by country</b>													
DENMARK	—	—	2,256	—	—	2,256	—	180	—	180	2,256	0.11%	2.0%
NORWAY	8	1,770	1,997	—	—	3,774	9	160	—	169	2,114	0.11%	2.0%
CZECH REPUBLIC	—	—	26	—	—	26	—	2	—	2	26	—	1.5%
UNITED KINGDOM	55,254	1,539,735	97,674	1,701	—	1,694,364	30,948	9,458	—	40,406	505,073	25.45%	1.0%
HONG KONG	—	6,359	14,316	—	—	20,675	315	1,202	—	1,518	18,970	0.96%	1.0%
SWEDEN	4,454	22,125	10,478	281	—	37,338	471	853	—	1,325	16,557	0.83%	1.0%
LUXEMBOURG	10,510	368,450	11,960	762	—	391,683	2,363	1,896	—	4,258	53,231	2.68%	0.5%
ROMANIA	—	—	0	—	—	0	—	0	—	0	0	—	0.5%
<b>Total (countries with existing CCyB rate)</b>	<b>70,226</b>	<b>1,938,439</b>	<b>138,707</b>	<b>2,744</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>2,150,117</b>	<b>34,107</b>	<b>13,751</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>47,858</b>	<b>598,227</b>	<b>30.14%</b>	<b>—</b>
UNITED STATES	15,751	968,135	178,675	659	—	1,163,221	7,482	16,112	—	23,594	294,923	14.86%	—
TAIWAN	11,524	510,134	7,701	—	—	529,359	14,536	698	—	15,234	190,426	9.60%	—
FRANCE	1,339	64,911	93,562	1,650	—	161,462	363	7,299	—	7,662	95,773	4.83%	—
CHINA	—	104,570	55,366	129	—	160,065	2,655	4,484	—	7,139	89,239	4.50%	—
GERMANY	830	50,116	76,614	1,241	—	128,802	328	6,735	—	7,063	88,291	4.45%	—
JAPAN	1,363	363,565	41,068	—	—	405,996	3,107	3,532	—	6,639	82,988	4.18%	—
IRELAND	9,029	732,854	3,975	12	—	745,870	4,165	766	—	4,932	61,647	3.11%	—
NETHERLANDS	1,228	201,997	37,851	5,142	—	246,217	1,829	3,084	—	4,913	61,411	3.09%	—
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	—	468,489	30,461	—	—	498,950	2,202	2,437	—	4,639	57,988	2.92%	—
SOUTH AFRICA	—	4,898	39,933	—	—	44,831	356	3,795	—	4,151	51,885	2.61%	—
KUWAIT	—	468,739	52	—	—	468,791	3,340	4	—	3,344	41,799	2.11%	—
SWITZERLAND	26	20,211	33,685	4,594	—	58,516	318	2,745	—	3,063	38,285	1.93%	—
SOUTH KOREA	1	173,242	20,669	—	—	193,911	1,118	1,297	—	2,415	30,187	1.52%	—
VIETNAM	—	19,101	225	—	—	19,325	2,012	18	—	2,030	25,379	1.28%	—
SINGAPORE	767	350,760	672	—	—	352,200	1,684	86	—	1,771	22,134	1.12%	—
AUSTRALIA	427	132,624	7,769	—	—	140,820	931	779	—	1,710	21,376	1.08%	—
<b>Total (countries with own funds requirements weights 1% or above)</b>	<b>42,285</b>	<b>4,634,345</b>	<b>628,279</b>	<b>13,429</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>5,318,338</b>	<b>46,427</b>	<b>53,872</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>100,298</b>	<b>1,253,730</b>	<b>63.19%</b>	<b>—</b>
<b>Total (rest of the world less than 1% requirement)</b>	<b>1,275</b>	<b>149,811</b>	<b>110,626</b>	<b>9,794</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>271,506</b>	<b>1,399</b>	<b>9,196</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>10,595</b>	<b>132,439</b>	<b>6.66%</b>	<b>—</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>113,786</b>	<b>6,722,596</b>	<b>877,612</b>	<b>25,967</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>7,739,961</b>	<b>81,932</b>	<b>76,819</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>158,752</b>	<b>1,984,396</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>—</b>

## Appendix A - Countercyclical capital buffer (continued)

**Table 26: CCyB2 - Amount of institution-specific countercyclical capital buffer**

This table shows an overview of institution specific countercyclical exposure and buffer requirements

	As at 31 December 2023	As at 31 December 2022
	€ 000's	€ 000's
1 Total risk exposure amount	<b>9,005,755</b>	10,990,325
2 Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer rate	<b>0.41%</b>	0.29%
3 Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer requirement	<b>36,642</b>	31,894



## Appendix B – Disclosure on remuneration

The following disclosures are made by applying instructions provided in Annex XXXIV and the tables as presented in Annex XXXIII of the PRA Rulebook, Disclosure (CRR) Part in application of Article 450 CRR.

### Remuneration governance

Barclays Bank PLC ('BBPLC') is the parent company of BCSL. BCSL does not employ any individuals, although a number of BBPLC employees (including individuals identified as Material Risk Takers ('MRTs') of BBPLC) may provide services to BCSL from time to time. The remuneration decisions in respect of BBPLC employees are overseen by the Barclays PLC and BBPLC Board Remuneration Committees.

Decision-making processes for remuneration allow for appropriate consideration of the interests of BCSL and responsibilities related to BCSL in pay and performance outcomes for relevant individuals. Information on the remuneration of BBPLC employees (including BBPLC MRTs) is included in Appendix B of the BBPLC Pillar 3 disclosure. This includes an explanation of how Barclays PLC Group has a holistic approach to performance management and managers consider risk, control and conduct issues arising within all areas of the organisation. These mechanisms ensure that any issues found within BCSL are factored into the performance ratings and pay outcomes for those individuals who provide services to BCSL. The BCSL Board Remuneration Committee is provided with information to satisfy themselves that the structure of remuneration for the BCSL Executive Committee members and Senior Managers providing services to BCSL is in line with applicable regulatory requirements.

### Remuneration of MRTs in respect of the financial year

As BCSL has no employees, BCSL MRTs are limited to the Non-Executive Directors on the Board of BCSL. Decisions in respect of the fees payable to the Non-Executive Directors of BCSL for their services as members of the BCSL Board are made by the Barclays PLC Remuneration Committee. Executive Directors of BCSL are employees of BBPLC and they are not paid any remuneration by BCSL or in respect of their BCSL Board membership.

The following tables set out the remuneration disclosures for individuals identified as MRTs for BCSL in respect of the fees received by them for their services as members of the BCSL Board.

In the tables, the terms below mean:

- 'MB' means BCSL's management body (i.e. the BCSL Board); and
- 'MB Supervisory function' means those individuals who were Non-Executive Directors of BCSL during 2023.

**Table 27: UK REM1 - Remuneration awarded for the financial year (all figures are in £000's except for 'Number of identified staff')**

		MB Supervisory function	MB Management function	Other senior management	Other identified staff
1	Number of identified staff	4	—	—	—
2	Total fixed remuneration	61.9	—	—	—
3	<i>Of which: cash-based</i>	61.9	—	—	—
4	(Not applicable in the UK)				
UK-4a	Fixed remuneration				
	<i>Of which: shares or equivalent ownership interests</i>	—	—	—	—
5	<i>Of which: share-linked instruments or equivalent non-cash instruments</i>	—	—	—	—
UK-5x	<i>Of which: other instruments</i>	—	—	—	—
6	(Not applicable in the UK)				
7	<i>Of which: other forms</i>	—	—	—	—
8	(Not applicable in the UK)				
9	Number of identified staff	—	—	—	—
10	Total variable remuneration	—	—	—	—
11	<i>Of which: cash-based</i>	—	—	—	—
12	<i>Of which: deferred</i>	—	—	—	—
UK-13a	<i>Of which: shares or equivalent ownership interests</i>	—	—	—	—
UK-14a	<i>Of which: deferred</i>	—	—	—	—
UK-13b	<i>Of which: share-linked instruments or equivalent non-cash instruments</i>	—	—	—	—
UK-14b	<i>Of which: deferred</i>	—	—	—	—
UK-14x	<i>Of which: other instruments</i>	—	—	—	—
UK-14y	<i>Of which: deferred</i>	—	—	—	—
15	<i>Of which: other forms</i>	—	—	—	—
16	<i>Of which: deferred</i>	—	—	—	—
<b>17</b>	<b>Total remuneration (2 + 10)</b>	<b>61.9</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>

## Appendix B – Disclosure on remuneration (continued)

**Table 28: UK REM2 - Special payments to staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institution's risk profile (identified staff)**

There are no special payments to disclose.

**Table 29: UK REM3 - Deferred remuneration**

There is no deferred remuneration to disclose.

**Table 30: UK REM4 - Remuneration of 1 million EUR or more per year**

There are no MRTs of BCSL who earn remuneration of 1 million EUR or more to include in this disclosure.

**Table 31: UK REM5 - Information on remuneration of staff whose professional activities have a material impact on institution's risk profile (identified staff) (all figures are in €000's except for 'Total number of identified staff')**

	Management body remuneration			Business areas						Total
	MB Supervisory function	MB Management function	Total MB	Investment banking	Retail banking	Asset management	Corporate functions	Independent internal control functions	All other	
<b>1</b>	<b>Total number of identified staff</b>									<b>4</b>
2	<i>Of which: members of the MB</i>									
	4	—	4							
3	<i>Of which: other senior management</i>									
				—	—	—	—	—	—	
4	<i>Of which: other identified staff</i>									
				—	—	—	—	—	—	
<b>5</b>	<b>Total remuneration of identified staff</b>									
	<b>61.9</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>61.9</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	
6	<i>Of which: variable remuneration</i>									
	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
7	<i>Of which: fixed remuneration</i>									
	61.9	—	61.9	—	—	—	—	—	—	